

Foreign Subsidies Regulation and EU International Procurement - Necessity, Changes and Challenges -

Brussels, 6 May 2022

Caspar Ebrecht, European Commission

Unit C.2, DG GROW

FSR in the context of international procurement

EU Public Procurement Market

- Open access within the limits of Art. 25 Dir 2014/24/EU / GPA
- Among the most open markets in the world, but increasing challenges for EU companies
- Unfair competition (mainly based on price) from foreign-subsidised bidders, SOEs – "Underbidding"



International Procurement Markets

- Barriers to entry for EU companies (lack of transparency, local content/ownership requirements, preferential treatment of domestic suppliers)
- Discriminatory conditions (outside GPA)

Uneven playing field



Purpose / Problem Defintion

Objective: Ensure level playing field for EU companies

- EU State aid control, but no equivalent regime for FS
- Impact Assessment instances of FS impacting the Internal Market:
 - Subsidised acquisitions
 - Subsidised bids in public procurement tenders
 - Other market situations such as subsidised investments, 'operating aid' etc.

Regulatory gap:

 None of the existing tools adequately tackle distortions caused by foreign subsidies on the internal market



Regulatory Gap – Public Procurement

- PP Directives do not address FS distorting the Single Market
- Rules on "abnormally low" bids (Art 69 Dir 2014/24/EU) not sufficient
- Public buyers not obliged to take into account FS when assessing the viability of a bid
- Incentive to accept lowest (subsidised) bid
- -> FSR proposal to close the gap



Some guiding principles

- Maintain trade and investment flows
 - Target most distortive foreign subsidies
 - Minimise administrative burden
 - e.g. high thresholds, alignment with EUMR concepts and timelines
- Respect international obligations, in particular WTO compatibility
 - Comply with non-discrimination, national treatment principles
 - Avoid conflict with existing rules (e.g. ASCM, IPI, FDI screening)



The Commission proposal at a glance



Foreign subsidy

- Interest-free loans
- Unlimited guarantees
- Capital injections
- Preferential tax treatment
- Tax credits
- Grants





Procedure

General screening tool

- Ex officio
- Any market situation

Specific ex-ante notification for

- Concentration (> €500m)
- Public procurement(> €250m)



Assessment of possible distortion

- Categories of distortive subsidies
- Indicators of a distortion



Balancing test

Balancing the negative and positive effects of the foreign subsidy Redressive measures to remedy distortions



The Instrument – Procurement in short

Targeted

- Ex-ante notifications: most harmful cases 250 Mio plus
- Ex-officio for **all** others, also ad hoc request for notification before award of contract
- •All economic operators/groups + main subcontractors/suppliers (key tech or 30% value)

Effective

- Investigation on basis of general assessment criteria (e.g. indicators) and undue advantage of tender
- Deadlines: 60 days preliminary, 200 days total
- Commitments or prohibition of award during procedure
- Toolbox of measures for ex-post

Coherent

- Exclusive EU competence (Commission)
- •Low admin burden for Member States
- •Balancing of negative and positive of the foreign subsidies



Public Procurement - Chapter 4 - Investigation

Which foreign financial contributions?

- Only those given in the three years prior to the notification
- Subsidies below 5
 million in the previous
 three years unlikely to
 be distortive

Distortion?

- General assessment criteria, e.g. indicators (not exhaustive: amount and nature of subsidy; its purpose and conditions; situation and activity of undertaking...)
- Tender is unduly advantageous

Unduly advantageous?

- Tender made possible due to the foreign subsidy, but
- Advantage may be explained by other factors such as technology



Public Procurement - Chapter 4 - Decisions

Outcome of the investigation

- In case of distortion:
 - Undertaking may propose effective commitments
 - Otherwise prohibition of award
- In the absence of distortion: award as normally.

The procurement procedure during the investigation

- Award to investigated undertaking not possible before decision or before 200 days elapse.
- Award to another undertaking with the best tender always possible.



Public Procurement – Chapter 4 - Competences

The Commission's exclusive competence

- Reception of the notification
- Preliminary investigation
- In-depth investigation
- Assessment of any proposed commitments
- Balancing test
- Redressive measures

The Contracting authority's role?

- Forward the notification to the Commission
- Award in line with any Commission decision
- Inform Commission of any decision relating to the outcome of the PP procedure



A careful balance in Public Procurement

Interests of participants

- Public buyers
- Tenderers
- Commission
- Citizens

Avoid circumvention

- Groups of operators
- Main suppliers and subcontractors
- Over 30 %

Effectiveness / Admin burden

- Targeted notification high threshold
- Protection of SMEs

A specific distortion test

- Limited to the specific procurement procedure
- Unduly advantageous tender

Direct public interest and public money

- Financed with public (and often European) funds
- Time sensitive



Specific challenges for PP

Efficient and effective procedures:

- Ensure that ex-ante review procedure does not delay ongoing PP procedure
- Distortion test: notion of unduly advantageous tender
- Ex-officio review : effective redressive measures

Specific procurement situations

• How to deal with lots, urgency procurements, multi-stage procedures, single bidders



Thank you



© European Union 2022

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

