
THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL

Editor's Note: Our Breadth of Coverage

Victoria Prussen Spears

European Parliament Committees Adopt Their Position on the Proposed EU Forced Labour Regulation

Christian Duvernoy, Brent J. Gurney, Hans-Georg Kamann, Jeffrey I. Kessler, Frédéric Louis, Stefan Ohlhoff, David J. Ross, Geoffroy Barthet, Georgia Tzifa, and Mariia Shulha

Trends, Reform and Advantages in English Arbitration for Commercial Dispute Resolution

John Laird, Edward Norman, and Roma Patel

Introducing the Economic Crime and Corporate Transparency Act

James Norris-Jones, Frances Carpenter, and Tim Vogel

Reform of the EU's Electricity Market: Member States Reach an Agreement on Contracts for Difference

François-Charles Laprèvote and Camilla Cozzani

It's Not Easy Being Green: The European Commission's New Guidance on Sustainability Agreements

Karel Bourgeois, Karl Stas, and Benjamin Geisel

UK Government Confirms Final Proposals for Cryptoasset Regulation

Jessica Lee and Menelaos Karampetsos

Financial Conduct Authority Begins New Era of Cryptocurrency Regulatory Enforcement with Salvo of Over 100 Noncompliance Warnings

Tim Davison, Preston J. Byrne, and Jessica Lee

The Global Regulatory Developments Journal

Volume 1, No. 2

March–April 2024

- 79 Editor’s Note: Our Breadth of Coverage**
Victoria Prussen Spears
- 83 European Parliament Committees Adopt Their Position on the Proposed EU Forced Labour Regulation**
Christian Duvernoy, Brent J. Gurney, Hans-Georg Kamann, Jeffrey I. Kessler, Frédéric Louis, Stefan Ohlhoff, David J. Ross, Geoffroy Barthet, Georgia Tzifa, and Mariia Shulha
- 99 Trends, Reform and Advantages in English Arbitration for Commercial Dispute Resolution**
John Laird, Edward Norman, and Roma Patel
- 109 Introducing the Economic Crime and Corporate Transparency Act**
James Norris-Jones, Frances Carpenter, and Tim Vogel
- 115 Reform of the EU’s Electricity Market: Member States Reach an Agreement on Contracts for Difference**
François-Charles Lapr v te and Camilla Cozzani
- 119 It’s Not Easy Being Green: The European Commission’s New Guidance on Sustainability Agreements**
Karel Bourgeois, Karl Stas, and Benjamin Geisel
- 129 UK Government Confirms Final Proposals for Cryptoasset Regulation**
Jessica Lee and Menelaos Karampetsos
- 139 Financial Conduct Authority Begins New Era of Cryptocurrency Regulatory Enforcement with Salvo of Over 100 Noncompliance Warnings**
Tim Davison, Preston J. Byrne, and Jessica Lee

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Tyler Bridegan

Attorney

Wiley Rein LLP

Paulo Fernando Campana Filho

Partner

Campana Pacca

Hei Zuqing

Distinguished Researcher

International Business School, Zhejiang University

Justin Herring

Partner

Mayer Brown LLP

Lisa Peets

Partner

Covington & Burling LLP

William D. Wright

Partner

Fisher Phillips

THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL (ISSN 2995-7486) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2024 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Morgan Morrissette Wright

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

The photo on this journal's cover is by Gaël Gaborel—A Picture of the Earth on a Wall—on Unsplash

Cite this publication as:

The Global Regulatory Developments Journal (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2024 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005

<https://www.fastcase.com/>

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.,
26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@
meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to international attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and others interested in global regulatory developments.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but the publisher, the editors and the authors are not rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Morgan Morrisette Wright, Publisher, Full Court Press at morgan.wright@vlex.com
or at 202.999.4878

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service
Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time
866.773.2782 (phone)
support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales
202.999.4777 (phone)
sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2995-7486

Reform of the EU's Electricity Market: Member States Reach an Agreement on Contracts for Difference

François-Charles Lapr votte and Camilla Cozzani*

In this article, the authors discuss the agreement reached by Member States within the Council of the European Union on the European Commission's proposal to reform the regulatory framework applicable to the EU's electricity market.

In March 2023, the European Commission (EC) presented a proposal to reform the regulatory framework applicable to the EU electricity market.

After various failed attempts, Member States now have found an agreement on the proposal (the General Approach) within the Council of the European Union.¹

One of the most contentious issues between Member States concerned the use of two-way Contracts for Difference (CfD) for investments in existing facilities producing nuclear energy. Two-way CfDs are contracts signed between a public entity and a power-generating facility operator that provide at the same time a minimum remuneration protection for the operator, when the market price is below the strike price, and a limit to excess remuneration, when the market price is above the strike price (i.e., the operator paying back the difference between the two prices).

The EU electricity market design proposal promoted the use of two-way CfDs for investments in renewable and low-carbon energy facilities; that is, for the generation of electricity from wind, solar, geothermal, hydropower without reservoir, and nuclear energy.² Article 1(9) of the EC's proposal, which explicitly mentions investments aimed at repowering or extending existing power-generating facilities or at prolonging their lifetime, allowed Member States to use CfDs to subsidize existing power-generating nuclear facilities.

France strongly supported this aspect of the proposed reform, which would have allowed the use of CfDs to support investments aimed at extending the lifetime of 56 of its nuclear reactors.

In contrast, Germany and other Member States have tried to exclude or limit the possibility of using CfDs for existing nuclear facilities, alleging that this could have distorted competition and reduced the incentives to invest in renewables. This is in line with the broader trend of divisions between EU Member States over the inclusion of nuclear energy and hydrogen derived from nuclear power in key legislative instruments of the European Green Deal.

The Council's General Approach provides that, as of three years after the entry into force of the new legislation, two-way CfDs will become the mandatory model of direct price support schemes for investments in new low-carbon, non-fossil fuel electricity facilities.³ On the other hand, the Preamble to the General Approach clarifies that Member States will also be allowed to grant support schemes in the form of two-way CfDs for "new investments aimed at substantially repowering existing power generation facilities, or at substantially increasing their capacity or prolonging their lifetime."⁴

Design Principles

The compromise has been reached by specifying that all two-way CfDs will have to be compatible with five design principles. CfDs will have to be designed to:

1. Preserve incentives for the generating facility to operate and participate efficiently in the electricity markets, in particular to reflect market circumstances.
2. Prevent any distortive effect of the support scheme on the operation, dispatch and maintenance decisions of the generating facility or on bidding behavior in day-ahead, intraday, ancillary services, and balancing markets.
3. Guarantee the long-term economic viability of the power generating facility while avoiding overcompensation by an alignment of the level of the minimum remuneration protection and of the upward limit to excess remuneration with the cost of the new investment and the market revenues.

4. Avoid undue distortions to competition and trade in the internal market, notably by determining remuneration amounts through an open, clear, transparent, and non-discriminatory competitive bidding process. In situations where a competitive bidding process is not feasible, CfDs—and the applicable strike prices—should be structured in a way that prevents distribution of revenues to undertakings from creating undue distortions.
5. Avoid any other distortions to competition and trade in the internal market resulting from the distribution of revenues to undertakings.⁵

Conclusion

The EC will have to ensure the compatibility of CfDs with such design principles in its assessment of the schemes under Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

The Council has also reached an agreement regarding the use of the revenues generated by Member States through two-way CfDs. According to the General Approach, such revenues will be distributed to final customers, and Member States may also use them “to finance the costs of the direct price support schemes or investments to reduce electricity costs for final customers.”⁶

The Council will now start negotiations with the European Parliament to define the final version of the proposed legislation.

Notes

* The authors, attorneys in the Brussels office of Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, may be contacted at fclaprevote@cgsh.com and ccozzani@cgsh.com, respectively. Giacomo Chiti assisted in the preparation of this article.

1. See Council of the European Union, Press Release, Reform of Electricity Market Design: Council Reaches Agreement (Oct. 17, 2023), <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2023/10/17/reform-of-electricity-market-design-council-reaches-agreement/>. The text of the General Approach is available at <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-14339-2023-INIT/en/pdf>.

2. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and the Council of March 14, 2023, amending Regulations (EU) 2019/943 and (EU) 2019/942

as well as Directives (EU) 2018/2001 and (EU) 2019/944 to improve the Union's electricity market design (COM (2023)148 final). Article 1(9).

3. *See* General Approach, *Ibid.*, Recital 30 and Article 1(9).

4. *Ibid.*, Recital 30.

5. *Ibid.*, Article 1(9), p. 61 for the future Article 19(b) of the Proposal.

6. *Ibid.*