



The Journal of Robotics, Artificial Intelligence & Law

Editor's Note: Machine Learning
Victoria Prussen Spears

Will "Leaky" Machine Learning Usher in a New Wave of Lawsuits?
Brian Wm. Higgins

There Is Nothing Either Good or Bad, But Training Sets Make It So
Glen Meyerowitz

Treasury Report Embraces Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence in Financial Services

Pamela L. Marcogliese, Colin D. Lloyd, Sandra M. Rocks, and Lauren Gilbert

GAO Testimony Before Congress Regarding Emerging Opportunities, Challenges, and Implications for Policy and Research with Artificial Intelligence
Susan B. Cassidy and Calvin Cohen

Artificial Intelligence: A Grayish Area for Insurance Coverage
Ashley E. Cowgill

The Connected Home: From Smart Fish Tanks to Connected Kitchen Appliances, Product Companies Must Navigate GDPR and Product Liability Directive Compliance, Cyber Risk, and Other IoT Challenges
Valerie Kenyon and Anthea Davies

Landmarks: The Spring Shotgun Case, and What It Tells Us About Security Robots
Steven A. Meyerowitz

Everything Is Not *Terminator*: Public-Facing Artificial Intelligence Policies—Part I
John Frank Weaver

- 5 Editor’s Note: Machine Learning**
Victoria Prussen Spears
- 9 Will “Leaky” Machine Learning Usher in a New Wave of Lawsuits?**
Brian Wm. Higgins
- 17 There Is Nothing Either Good or Bad, But Training Sets Make It So**
Glen Meyerowitz
- 25 Treasury Report Embraces Machine Learning and Artificial
Intelligence in Financial Services**
Pamela L. Marcogliese, Colin D. Lloyd, Sandra M. Rocks, and
Lauren Gilbert
- 31 GAO Testimony Before Congress Regarding Emerging
Opportunities, Challenges, and Implications for Policy and
Research with Artificial Intelligence**
Susan B. Cassidy and Calvin Cohen
- 35 Artificial Intelligence: A Grayish Area for Insurance Coverage**
Ashley E. Cowgill
- 39 The Connected Home: From Smart Fish Tanks to Connected
Kitchen Appliances, Product Companies Must Navigate GDPR and
Product Liability Directive Compliance, Cyber Risk, and Other IoT
Challenges**
Valerie Kenyon and Anthea Davies
- 45 Landmarks: The Spring Shotgun Case, and What It Tells Us About
Security Robots**
Steven A. Meyerowitz
- 59 Everything Is Not *Terminator*: Public-Facing Artificial Intelligence
Policies—Part I**
John Frank Weaver

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Miranda Cole

Partner, Covington & Burling LLP

Kathryn DeBord

Partner & Chief Innovation Officer, Bryan Cave LLP

Melody Drummond Hansen

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Paul Keller

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP

Garry G. Mathiason

Shareholder, Littler Mendelson P.C.

Elaine D. Solomon

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

Linda J. Thayer

Partner, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner LLP

Mercedes K. Tunstall

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

Edward J. Walters

Chief Executive Officer, Fastcase Inc.

John Frank Weaver

Attorney, McLane Middleton, Professional Association

THE JOURNAL OF ROBOTICS, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE & LAW (ISSN 2575-5633 (print) /ISSN 2575-5617 (online) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2019 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 711 D St. NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20004, 202.999.4777 (phone), 202.521.3462 (fax), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Morgan Morrisette Wright

Journal Designer: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Juan Bustamante

Cite this publication as:

The Journal of Robotics, Artificial Intelligence & Law (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2019 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

711 D St. NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20004

<https://www.fastcase.com/>

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF ROBOTICS, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE & LAW, 711 D St. NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20004.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.,
26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@
meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, scientists, engineers, and anyone interested in the law governing artificial intelligence and robotics. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Morgan Morrisette Wright, Publisher, Full Court Press at mwright@fastcase.com
or at 202.999.4878

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service
Available 8am–8pm Eastern Time
866.773.2782 (phone)
support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales
202.999.4777 (phone)
sales@fastcase.com (email)
ISSN 2575-5633 (print)
ISSN 2575-5617 (online)

Treasury Report Embraces Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence in Financial Services

Pamela L. Marcogliese, Colin D. Lloyd, Sandra M. Rocks, and Lauren Gilbert*

In its report on Nonbank Financials, Fintech, and Innovation, the U.S. Treasury Department generally embraced artificial intelligence and recommended facilitating the further development and incorporation of such technologies into the financial services industry to realize the potential the technologies can provide for financial services and the broader economy. The authors of this article discuss the report and how it will likely help further focus regulators on the use and appropriate regulation of the technology in the financial industry.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning (for simplicity, we refer to these concepts together as “AI”)¹ have been hot topics in the financial services industry in recent years as the industry wrestles with how to harness technological innovations. In its report on Nonbank Financials, Fintech, and Innovation² released on July 31, 2018, the Treasury Department (“Treasury”) generally embraced AI and recommended facilitating the further development and incorporation of such technologies into the financial services industry to realize the potential the technologies can provide for financial services and the broader economy.

Key Drivers for the Increased Importance of AI

AI was one of the top three areas of technology investment for financial services firms in 2017.³ Treasury highlighted two key drivers for the increased importance of AI in the financial sector: (1) the sheer amount of data that is now available to financial institutions *requires* the use of AI in order to make use of such data and (2) firms view AI as a driver of competitive advantage that can increase efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance quality of services.

This rapid growth of AI raises important policy considerations for regulators.

Policy Considerations

In its report, Treasury grouped these policy considerations into four main topics:

Benefits and Risks from Competition in AI and Big Data

Multi-faceted competition may provide benefits for end users and consumers as smaller firms are able to compete by providing new algorithms, traditional players can leverage product expertise, technology firms can leverage experience with AI in other contexts and investment managers can employ AI to deliver improved investment performance. However, the competitive advantages created by AI may also harm competitive markets if it leads to high levels of market concentration.

Legal and Employment Challenges

- *Fraud*: While currently being used to enhance fraud protection, AI could potentially be used to circumvent these same fraud detection capabilities.
- *Integrity of Algorithmic Decision Making*: Models are only as good as the data underpinning such models. AI may compound existing biases through training models with biased data and models may make decisions based on incorrect or fraudulent data.
- *Role of Humans*: A decision-making process informed by models may be unable to provide explanations for decisions or self-correct for biases built into the design without human intervention.
- *Employment*: AI may result in significant job losses in the financial services industry as models are able to process information more efficiently. On the other hand, there will likely be widespread demand for employees skilled in AI and related fields.

Data Privacy

The volume of data required to effectively develop AI raises data privacy concerns as consumer data is increasingly shared without informed consent. Further, AI's ability to analyze data and identify users may in fact increase the sensitivity of data that was previously considered sufficiently anonymous.

Regulatory Challenges Related to Transparency, Auditability, and Accountability

The complexity of AI models raises challenges for transparency and auditing of such models, which undermines traditional regulatory frameworks that rely on an expectation of transparency. For example, the Fair Credit Reporting Act requires that companies notify a consumer if consumer report information is used to deny credit. It may be difficult for firms using AI to make credit decisions to provide notifications and rationales for such decisions.

Benefits of AI

Despite recognizing potential issues and challenges in fully implementing AI into the financial services industry, Treasury indicated that the increased use of AI would provide significant benefits to the U.S. economy by “improving the quality of financial services for households and businesses and supplying a source of competitive strength for U.S. firms” and, therefore, recommended that regulators “should not impose unnecessary burdens or obstacles to the use of [AI] and should provide greater regulatory clarity that would enable further testing and responsible deployment of these technologies by regulated financial services companies as the technologies develop.”

In supporting the development of AI in the financial services sector, Treasury specifically recommended that agencies pursue interagency efforts to advance AI and enable research and development, including engaging with the Select Committee on Artificial Intelligence, an interagency committee chaired by the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, the National Science Foundation and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency,

and permit real-world experimentation (with appropriate limits) to better understand the benefits and risks of AI and how such technology should be appropriately regulated.

Other regulatory agencies and self-regulatory organizations are expressing similar interest in AI. For example, on July 30, 2018, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) requested comment on “Financial Technology Innovation in the Broker-Dealer Industry,”⁴ noting the current use of AI to augment market research and expressing a desire to support fintech development consistent with its mission of investor protection and market integrity. FINRA highlighted the following use cases for AI in the broker-dealer industry:

- Anti-money laundering and know your customer compliance;
- Trading (e.g., algorithmic trading strategies);
- Data management; and
- Customer service (e.g., chatbots).

FINRA requested additional information on other current or potential uses of AI by broker-dealers and comments in particular on “supervisory processes concerning the use of artificial intelligence” as FINRA noted that broker-dealers have “grappled” with how to utilize AI within the existing regulatory framework.

In addition, Federal Reserve vice chair for supervision Randal Quarles recently said that the Federal Reserve is “paying a lot of attention” to machine learning⁵ and the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and the Financial Stability Board both published papers related to the use of AI in the financial services industry in the past year.⁶

Conclusion

The regulation of AI, in particular how AI will fit into existing regulatory frameworks or require the development of new frameworks, is a topic that will continue to grow in prominence in the near future. The Treasury report and its recommendations will likely help further focus regulators on the use and appropriate regulation of AI in the financial industry. However, we expect such progress to be gradual and for regulators to focus on developing

specific standards for applying AI to particular use cases (e.g., regulating the use of AI in credit screenings, regulating the use of chatbots).

Notes

* Pamela L. Marcogliese (pmarcogliese@cgsh.com) is a partner at Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP focusing her practice on corporate and financial transactions, particularly capital markets matters, along with work on a range of corporate governance matters. Colin D. Lloyd (clloyd@cgsh.com) is a partner at the firm advising clients on a range of securities and derivatives regulatory, legislative, transactional, and enforcement matters. Sandra M. Rocks (srocks@cgsh.com) is counsel at the firm focusing on commercial financing, including secured transaction and bankruptcy law. Lauren Gilbert (lgilbert@cgsh.com) is an associate at the firm concentrating her practice on corporate and financial transactions.

1. The contours of artificial intelligence and machine learning are not well defined, but generally, “artificial intelligence” refers to machines designed to act intelligently and mimic human decision making and “machine learning” refers to the ability of software to learn and self-improve.

2. <https://home.treasury.gov/news/press-releases/sm447>.

3. PricewaterhouseCoopers, Redrawing the Lines: FinTech’s Growing Influence on Financial Services (2017), at 9, *available at* <https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/industries/financial-services/assets/pwc-global-fintech-report-2017.pdf>.

4. <http://www.finra.org/industry/special-notice-073018>.

5. Fed’s Quarles says paying “a lot” of attention to spread of machine learning in finance, Reuters, *available at* <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-fed-quarles/feds-quarles-says-paying-a-lot-of-attention-to-spread-of-machine-learning-in-finance-idUSKBN1I8003>.

6. BCBS, Sound Practices: Implications of fintech developments for banks and bank supervisors (Aug. 2017), *available at* <https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d415.pdf>; Financial Stability Board, Artificial intelligence and machine learning in financial services (Nov. 2017), *available at* <http://www.fsb.org/2017/11/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-in-financial-service/>.