

SECURITIES ENFORCEMENT DEVELOPMENTS

February 7, 2008

What follows are some recent developments in securities enforcement that our clients and other friends may find of interest:

Annette Nazareth's departure leaves the Commission with three Republican and no Democratic Commissioners.

Our take: The conventional wisdom is that Commissioner Nazareth's departure from the SEC will result in a slowing of the enforcement process, because at least some of the remaining Commissioners are perceived as hostile towards the Division of Enforcement. There has been no word from the White House about when it expects to appoint Democratic commissioners. Until there is, we expect some scrutiny from the press and from the Congress about the pace of the enforcement program. To preempt any criticism, the Commission may move cases more quickly.

The sub-prime enforcement season is officially open.

The Massachusetts Secretary of State brought a civil lawsuit alleging that Merrill Lynch sold the City of Springfield unsuitable securities, namely a \$13.9 million tranche of a collateralized debt obligation linked to sub-prime mortgages. Investigations are underway by the Department of Justice, the SEC, and various state attorneys general.

Our take: The case is small but significant because other states, the SEC, and the DOJ will feel pressure to bring their own cases more quickly. These complex cases are hard to investigate and even harder to explain to courts and juries. But given the widespread pain from the sub-prime crisis, the pressure to bring cases soon will be enormous.

The White House proposes a flat budget for the SEC.

The White House has proposed an essentially flat budget for the SEC. There will be no appreciable additional resources for the SEC's enforcement program. Staff attrition rates are up after a decline in the years immediately following enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Our take: While the SEC announced that it brought record numbers of cases in 2006, there were fewer blockbusters than in previous years. The budget request suggests that this trend will continue.

The SEC brings an administrative proceeding against a lawyer for his conduct in representing a witness in an enforcement proceeding.

The SEC instituted an administrative proceeding against a lawyer for a witness in a previous SEC administrative proceeding. According to the complaint, the lawyer's client was an employee of the broker-dealer sued by the SEC. The lawyer allegedly [asked for] a "severance package" on behalf of his client, in return for which the client would fail to cooperate with the SEC's Division of Enforcement and "forget" relevant information. *In re Steven Altman, Esq.* (File No. 3-12944).

Our take: It is very unusual for the SEC to discipline lawyers for their conduct representing parties, much less witnesses, in SEC proceedings, for fear of being seen as interfering with the attorney-client relationship and the adversary process. The facts alleged here, though, look egregious. The question is whether this case is the thin end of a wedge or whether it is a once-in-a-blue-moon event.

Sentencing in options backdating criminal cases goes forward, and SEC nears end of its investigations.

Brocade's chief executive officer, the first person to be charged with a crime in the options backdating scandal, was sentenced to 21 months in prison. Separately, SEC Enforcement Director Linda Thomsen said in remarks at a conference that the SEC has 80 backdating investigations still open (out of 160 opened initially) and that she expects the Commission will decide which cases to bring and which to drop by the end of 2008.

Our take: The Commission will bring a small fraction of cases out of the 80 investigations still open. Many of the open investigations involve old conduct; backdating largely stopped with the passage of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in 2002. Since backdating is not itself unlawful, these are cases about false financial statements or false statements in proxy materials, cases where intent is always hard to prove.

If you should have any questions, please contact David Becker, Giovanni Prezioso, Robin Bergen, or Shawn Chen in the Firm's Washington Office at + 1 202 974 1500, or David Brodsky, Lewis Liman, or Breon Peace in our New York office at + 1 212 225-2000.

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

Office Locations

WASHINGTON

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1801
1 202 974 1500
1 202 974 1999 Fax

NEW YORK

One Liberty Plaza
New York, NY 10006-1470
1 212 225 2000
1 212 225 3999 Fax

PARIS

12, rue de Tilsitt
75008 Paris, France
33 1 40 74 68 00
33 1 40 74 68 88 Fax

BRUSSELS

Rue de la Loi 57
1040 Brussels, Belgium
32 2 287 2000
32 2 231 1661 Fax

LONDON

City Place House
55 Basinghall Street
London EC2V 5EH, England
44 20 7614 2200
44 20 7600 1698 Fax

MOSCOW

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP
CGS&H Limited Liability Company
Paveletskaya Square 2/3
Moscow, Russia 115054
7 495 660 8500
7 495 660 8505 Fax

FRANKFURT

Main Tower
Neue Mainzer Strasse 52
60311 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
49 69 97103 0
49 69 97103 199 Fax

COLOGNE

Theodor-Heuss-Ring 9
50668 Cologne, Germany
49 221 80040 0
49 221 80040 199 Fax

ROME

Piazza di Spagna 15
00187 Rome, Italy
39 06 69 52 21
39 06 69 20 06 65 Fax

MILAN

Via San Paolo 7
20121 Milan, Italy
39 02 72 60 81
39 02 86 98 44 40 Fax

HONG KONG

Bank of China Tower
One Garden Road
Hong Kong
852 2521 4122
852 2845 9026 Fax

BEIJING

Twin Towers – West
12 B Jianguomen Wai Da Jie
Chaoyang District
Beijing 100022, China
86 10 5920 1000
86 10 5879 3902 Fax