

SEPTEMBER 25, 2015

clearygottlieb.com

# Agencies Release Volcker Rule FAQs on Identification of Covered Funds in Market Making, CEO Certification for Prime Brokerage Exemption

Today, the Agencies responsible for implementing the Volcker Rule released two new FAQs. One confirms that a banking entity may reasonably rely on objective factors or a shared utility or third party service provider as part of its covered funds compliance program in the context of market making activities. The other addresses the timeframe for providing the CEO certification required when relying on the prime brokerage exemption.

FAQ 17 helpfully confirms that trading desks engaged in market making activities may rely on the determination of a service provider that utilizes objective factors to identify whether a security is issued by a covered fund, provided that the banking entity "reasonably believes" the system used by the service provider will identify covered funds. "Objective factors" in this regard are defined in FAQ 17 as factors "that can be used to reliably identify whether an issuer or a particular type of issuer is a covered fund." The FAQ apparently responds to the industry proposals (through SIFMA) to the Agencies over the last year for the development and use of a service that would look to certain readily verifiable characteristics—such as whether a security is registered—to indicate non-covered fund status, rather than requiring a direct analysis of applicable exemptions or exclusions. FAQ 17 also confirms that a trading desk's compliance program may include objective factors that traders engaged in market making may rely on to determine whether a security is issued by a covered fund. However, a trading desk may not rely on objective factors, shared utilities or third party service providers for a fund that the banking entity itself sponsors or organizes and offers, or has previously determined is a covered fund in connection with acquiring an ownership interest in the issuer as an investment.

The banking entity's use of objective factors, a shared utility or a third party service provider must be subject to the Volcker Rule's independent testing and audit requirements and, if the banking entity later determines it impermissibly holds an ownership interest in a covered fund, it must promptly dispose of or conform the interest.

FAQ 18 clarifies that the annual CEO certification required in connection with the prime brokerage exemption under Section \_\_\_.14(a)(2) of the final rule should be provided no later than March 31, 2016. For legacy covered funds (i.e., those funds a banking entity sponsored or owned prior to December 31, 2013), the certification should be provided by March 31 following the end of the relevant conformance period. Subsequent certifications should be provided annually within one year of the prior certification and, as provided in the final rule, the CEO must update the certification if the information it contains materially changes at any point during the year.

This memorandum was prepared as a service to clients and other friends of Cleary Gottlieb to report on recent developments that may be of interest to them. The information in it is therefore general, and should not be considered or relied on as legal advice. Throughout this memorandum, "Cleary Gottlieb" and the "firm" refer to Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP and its affiliated entities in certain jurisdictions, and the term "offices" includes offices of those affiliated entities.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, 2015. All rights reserved.

The text of the new Volcker Rule FAQs is below.

## **Compliance for Market Making and the Identification of Covered Funds**

17. May a banking entity's compliance program for market making-related activities include objective factors on which a trading desk may reasonably rely to determine whether a security is issued by a covered fund? Furthermore, may a market maker meet its compliance program requirements by making use of a shared utility or third party service provider that utilizes objective factors if the market maker reasonably believes the system of the shared utility or third party service provider will identify whether a security is issued by a covered fund?

## Posted: 9/25/2015

The final rule's exemption for market making-related activity requires a banking entity to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce a reasonably designed compliance program for a trading desk engaged in market making-related activity that includes, among other things, strong internal controls and independent testing.40 For purposes of meeting the final rule's exemption for market-making,41 a reasonably designed compliance program for a trading desk engaged in market activity may include objective factors on which the trading desk may reasonably rely to determine whether a security is issued by a covered fund. Objective factors are factual criteria that can be used to reliably identify whether an issuer or a particular type of issuer is a covered fund.42 As an example, an objective factor would include whether the securities of the issuer were offered in transactions registered under the Securities Act.43 Objective factors would not be considered part of a reasonably designed compliance program if the banking entity designed or used such objective factors to evade section 13 and the final rule.

On the other hand, the Agencies' staffs do not believe it would be reasonable for a trading desk to rely solely on either or both the name of the issuer or the title of the issuer's securities; these factors alone would not convey sufficient information about the issuer for a trading desk reasonably to determine whether a security is issued by a covered fund.

A reasonably designed compliance program for a trading desk engaged in market makingrelated activity also may permit the trading desk to use a shared utility or third party service provider that utilizes objective factors if the banking entity reasonably believes the system of the shared utility or third party service provider will identify whether a security is issued by a covered fund and use of the shared utility or third party service provider is identified in the trading desk's compliance program. The use of objective factors by a shared utility or third party service provider should be evaluated by the banking entity in considering whether the banking entity reasonably believes that the shared utility or third party service provider has a system that will identify whether a security is issued by a covered fund.

Whether a compliance program is reasonably designed will depend on the facts and circumstances. A compliance program that is reasonably designed for a trading desk engaged in market making-related activities may not be reasonably designed for other activities



conducted by a banking entity. This FAQ only addresses the compliance program for a trading desk engaged in market making-related activity.44

Importantly, the banking entity's reliance on objective factors, a shared utility, or a third party service provider must be subject to independent testing and audit requirements applicable to the banking entity's compliance program.45 If independent testing or other review of the banking entity's compliance program shows that the objective factors used by the banking entity, shared utility, or third party service provider are not effective in identifying whether a security is issued by a covered fund, then the banking entity must promptly update its compliance program to remedy such issues and, as necessary, take action under § 248.21 of the final rule implementing section 13 of the BHC Act. Further, if at any time the banking entity discovers it holds an ownership interest in a covered fund in violation of the final rule implementing section 13 of the BHC Act, it must promptly dispose of the interestor otherwise conform it to the requirements of the final rule.46

40 See § 248.4(b)(2)(iii) of the final rule. See also § 248.20(a) of the final rule (providing that "each banking entity shall develop and provide for the continued administration of a compliance program reasonably designed to ensure and monitor compliance with the prohibitions and restrictions on proprietary trading and covered fund activities and investments set forth in section 13 of the BHC Act and [the final rules]").

41 § 248.11(c).

42 Notably, the reasonableness of a particular objective factor may vary based on the type of issuer, and relying on objective factors may not be reasonable for all types of issuers. This may be the case, for example, for potential covered fund issuers whose operations or structure are not consistent with market standards or practices for which objective factors could be tailored.

43 See 79 FR at 5674 n.1717, 5687 n.1861.

44 In the context of market making-related activity, it generally would not be reasonable for the compliance program to permit the trading desk to rely on objective factors, shared utilities, or third party service providers in determining whether an issuer is a covered fund if the banking entity has already determined that the issuer is a covered fund in connection with sponsoring the issuer or acquiring an ownership interest in the issuer as an investment. Where a banking entity organizes and offers, including sponsors, an entity that may be a covered fund, the banking entity should know if the issuer is a covered fund and may not rely on objective factors. See § 248.11(a)-(b).

45 See § 248.20(b)(4), Appendix B.

46 While market making-related activity in covered funds is permitted under § 248.11(c) of the final rule, such activity is subject to certain limits on the amount of covered fund ownership interests the banking entity may hold.



## **CEO Certification for Prime Brokerage Transactions**

18. When is a banking entity required to submit the annual CEO certification for prime brokerage transactions required by § 248.14(a)(2)(ii)(B) of the final rule? What about legacy covered funds?

## Posted: 9/25/2015

Section 248.14(a)(1) of the final rule prohibits a banking entity that serves, directly or indirectly, as the investment manager, investment adviser, commodity trading advisor, or sponsor to a covered fund, that organizes and offers a covered fund pursuant to § 248.11 of the final rule or that holds an ownership interest in accordance with § 248.11(b), and any affiliate of the banking entity, from entering into a covered transaction as defined in section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 371c(b)(7)) with the covered fund or with any other covered fund that is controlled by such covered fund. Notwithstanding this prohibition, § 248.14(a)(2) provides that a banking entity may enter into any prime brokerage transaction47 with any covered fund in which a covered fund managed, sponsored, or advised by such banking entity (or an affiliate) has taken an ownership interest, so long as the conditions enumerated in the final rule are satisfied.48 One of the conditions requires a written CEO certification annually.49

Staffs of the Agencies believe that banking entities that are required to provide the annual CEO certification for prime brokerage transactions as of the end of the conformance period should submit the first CEO certification required under § 248.14 after the end of the conformance period but no later than March 31, 2016.50 A banking entity may provide the required annual certification in writing at any time prior to the March 31 deadline to the relevant Agency.

The conformance period for investments in and relationships with a legacy covered fund (i.e., a covered fund sponsored or owned by a banking entity prior to December 31, 2013) currently ends on July 21, 2016.51 Banking entities that engage in prime brokerage transactions with legacy covered funds should submit their first CEO certification by March 31 following the end of the relevant conformance period.

In any case, a banking entity should provide the CEO certification annually within one year of its prior certification. Moreover, under the final rule, the CEO has a duty to update the certification if the information in the certification materially changes at any time during the year when he or she becomes aware of the material change.

47 The final rule defines "prime brokerage transaction" to mean any transaction that would be a covered transaction, as defined in section 23A(b)(7) of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 371c(b)(7)), that is provided in connection with custody, clearance and settlement, securities borrowing or lending services, trade execution, financing, or data, operational, and administrative support. See 12 CFR 248.10(d)(7).

48 See 12 CFR 248.14(a)(2) & (c); see also 79 FR at 5747.

49 See 12 CFR 248.14(a)(2)(ii)(B).

CLEARY	
Gottlieb	

50 See FAQ #7 <u>http://www.federalreserve.gov/bankinforeg/volcker-rule/faq.htm#7</u> ("The staffs of the Agencies believe that banking entities subject to Appendix B as of the end of the conformance period should submit the first CEO attestation required under Appendix B after the end of the conformance period but no later than March 31, 2016.").

51 The Board granted banking entities until July 21, 2016 to conform investments in and relationships with covered funds that were in place prior to December 31, 2013 and announced its intention to act next year to grant banking entities until July 21, 2017 to conform investments in and relationships with legacy covered funds. See Board Order Approving Extension of Conformance Period under Section 13 of the Bank Holding Company Act (December 18, 2014), available at <a href="http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/bcreg/20141218a.htm">http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/bcreg/20141218a.htm</a>. A banking entity would thus have until July 21, 2017 to conform its relationships with legacy covered funds.

Volcker Rule Frequently Asked Questions

http://www.federalreserve.gov/bankinforeg/volcker-rule/faq.htm

# Cleary Gottlieb

#### ALERT MEMORANDUM

# **Office Locations**

#### **NEW YORK**

One Liberty Plaza New York, NY 10006-1470 T: +1 212 225 2000 F: +1 212 225 3999

#### WASHINGTON

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006-1801 T: +1 202 974 1500 F: +1 202 974 1999

#### PARIS

12, rue de Tilsitt 75008 Paris, France T: +33 1 40 74 68 00 F: +33 1 40 74 68 88

#### BRUSSELS

Rue de la Loi 57 1040 Brussels, Belgium T: +32 2 287 2000 F: +32 2 231 1661

#### LONDON

City Place House 55 Basinghall Street London EC2V 5EH, England T: +44 20 7614 2200 F: +44 20 7600 1698

#### MOSCOW

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLC Paveletskaya Square 2/3 Moscow, Russia 115054 T: +7 495 660 8500 F: +7 495 660 8505

#### FRANKFURT

Main Tower Neue Mainzer Strasse 52 60311 Frankfurt am Main, Germany T: +49 69 97103 0 F: +49 69 97103 199

#### COLOGNE

Theodor-Heuss-Ring 9 50688 Cologne, Germany T: +49 221 80040 0 F: +49 221 80040 199

#### ROME

Piazza di Spagna 15 00187 Rome, Italy T: +39 06 69 52 21 F: +39 06 69 20 06 65

#### MILAN

Via San Paolo 7 20121 Milan, Italy T: +39 02 72 60 81 F: +39 02 86 98 44 40

#### HONG KONG

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton (Hong Kong) Hysan Place, 37th Floor 500 Hennessy Road, Causeway Bay Hong Kong T: +852 2521 4122 F: +852 2845 9026

#### BEIJING

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP 45th Floor, Fortune Financial Center 5 Dong San Huan Zhong Lu Chaoyang District Beijing 100020, China T: +86 10 5920 1000 F: +86 10 5879 3902

#### **BUENOS AIRES**

CGSH International Legal Services, LLP-Sucursal Argentina Avda. Quintana 529, 4to piso 1129 Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires Argentina T: +54 11 5556 8900 F: +54 11 5556 8999

#### SÃO PAULO

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton Consultores em Direito Estrangeiro Rua Funchal, 418, 13 Andar São Paulo, SP Brazil 04551-060 T: +55 11 2196 7200 F: +55 11 2196 7299

#### ABU DHABI

Al Sila Tower, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Abu Dhabi Global Market Square Al Maryah Island, PO Box 29920 Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates T: +971 2 412 1700 F: +971 2 412 1899

#### SEOUL

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office 19F, Ferrum Tower 19, Eulji-ro 5-gil, Jung-gu Seoul 100-210, Korea T:+82 2 6353 8000 F:+82 2 6353 8099